

Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications to the Camden Local Plan Proposed Submission Draft

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Policy S1 South Camden		Propose the following modification to Policy S1, Part U 1 - 'The delivery of a new High Speed 2 station and mainline station improvements at Euston, <u>alongside improvements to other supporting public transport and active travel infrastructure serving the station.</u> '	For clarity – in response to TfL comments (Responder ID 604)
Policy S1 South Camden		Propose the following modification to Policy S1, Part U 2 - “The transformation of Euston Road <u>in accordance with Euston Healthy Streets</u> and the removal of the King's Cross gyratory.”	For clarity – in response to TfL comments (Responder ID 604)
Policy S1 South Camden		Propose the following modification to Policy S1 Part Q - "the Council will continue to protect the concrete plants <u>s</u> at King's Cross, which are-is ..."	For clarity – in response to Tarmac Trading comments (Responder ID 499)
Policy S2 Euston Area		Propose to insert a new criterion in Policy S2 Part A, after criterion 1 - “To realise the vision and objectives set out in the Euston Area Plan, the Council will:.... <u>2. Support the optimisation of development at Euston, recognising the opportunity to secure long-term benefits and deliver nationally significant transport infrastructure;</u> Subsequent criterion will need to be re-numbered	For clarity - in response to Lendlease and the Euston Landowners comments (Responder ID 619)
Policy S2 Euston Area		Propose the following modification to Policy S2 Part A. 3. expect development to deliver new and replacement employment floorspace across the Euston Area, and support and prioritise the creation and retention of suitable employment floorspace for <u>employment, education,</u> research, learning and knowledge-based uses;...”	For clarity – to respond to the comments made by UCL (Responder ID 523)
Policy S4 Bloomsbury Campus Area		Propose the following modification to Policy S4, Part A.4 - consolidate, <u>improve</u> and increase academic space	For clarity – to respond to the comments made by

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
			UCL (Responder ID 523)
Policy W1 West Camden		Propose the following modification to Policy W1, Part D - The O2 Centre site <u>referred to in Part C above</u> has the potential to become a vibrant and diverse new neighbourhood..."	For clarity – to respond to the comments made by Landsec (Responder ID 498)
Policy W1 West Camden		Propose the following modification to Policy W1 Part J - "The majority of new retail development in the West of the borough is expected to be delivered through the development of the O2 Centre site <u>(site allocation W2).</u> "	For clarity – to respond to the comments made by Landsec (Responder ID 498)
Policy W1 West Camden		Propose the following modification to Policy W1 Part L.6 - "The delivery of a new health centre and community facilities as part of the redevelopment of the O2 Centre site <u>(site allocation W2)</u> "	For clarity – to respond to the comments made by Landsec (Responder ID 498)
Policy W1 West Camden		Propose the following modification to Policy W1 Part L.7 - "The delivery of new public open space as part of the re-development of the O2 Centre site <u>(site allocation W2)</u> ;	For clarity – to respond to the comments made by Landsec (Responder ID 498)
Site Allocation Policy S5 120–136 Camley Street		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S5 Criterion 11 b - 11. contribute towards public realm and connectivity enhancement projects along Camley Street, to strengthen it as a key route for all cyclists and pedestrians, through: a. the provision of a new public space and urban greening to improve the entrance from Agar Grove and the approach from the south under the adjacent bridge. This should include a coordinated route along/across the boundary with Agar Grove Estate (site S21); b. the provision of a new public space and urban greening to improve the	To remove duplicate text

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
		entrance from Agar Grove and the approach from the south under the adjacent bridge. This should include a coordinated route along/across the boundary with Agar Grove Estate (site S21); Subsequent criterion will need to be re-numbered.	
Site Allocation Policy S5 120–136 Camley Street		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S5, 'Other Considerations' second bullet point - "Thames Water have suggested that the location of this site and the scale of development being proposed could trigger the need for local upgrades to the water supply and as such detailed assessment work is likely to be required. In some cases, this may involve a review of existing material where that work has recently been undertaken. <u>Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree whether modelling work is required to understand whether the existing water supply network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development</u> ".	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy S6 104-114 Camley Street and Cedar Way Industrial Estate		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S6 to insert a new criterion - <u>(19) - seek to contribute towards improved access to bus services in the local area.</u>	For clarity – to respond to TfL comments (Responder ID 604)
Site Allocation Policy S6 104-114 Camley Street and Cedar Way Industrial Estate		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S6 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 2 and replace with - <u>"Thames Water have advised that due to location of this site and the scale of development proposed it is anticipated that upgrades to the water network will be necessary and upgrades to the wastewater network may also be required. Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree what modelling work is required to investigate whether the existing water and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required "</u> .	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Site Allocation Policy S7 24-86 Royal College Street (Parcelforce and ATS Tyre Site)		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S7, 'Other Considerations' second bullet point - "Thames Water have suggested that the location of this site and the scale of development being proposed could trigger the need for local upgrades to the water supply and as such detailed assessment work is likely to be required. In some cases, this may involve a review of existing material where that work has recently been undertaken. <u>Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree whether modelling work is required to understand whether the existing water supply network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development</u> ".	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy S8 St. Pancras Hospital		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S8 Criterion 4 - "be designed to conserve or <u>and enhance</u> existing heritage assets, including building, spaces and the adjacent St Pancras Gardens, recognising their contribution to the character of the conservation area, and seek to retain and restore buildings that make a positive contribution in accordance with Policy D5 (Historic Environment);"	For clarity
Site Allocation Policy S8 St. Pancras Hospital		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S8, 'Other Considerations' second bullet point - "Thames Water have suggested that the location of this site and the scale of development being proposed could trigger the need for local upgrades to the water supply and as such detailed assessment work is likely to be required. In some cases, this may involve a review of existing material where that work has recently been undertaken. <u>Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree whether modelling work is required to understand whether the existing water supply network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development</u> ".	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy S9 Shorebase Access		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S9, 'Other Considerations' – remove the second bullet point Thames Water have suggested that the location of this site and the scale of development being proposed could trigger the need for local upgrades to the water supply and as such detailed assessment work is likely to be required. In	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
		some cases, this may involve a review of existing material where that work has recently been undertaken.	
Site Allocation Policy S11 Former Royal National Throat, Nose and Ear Hospital		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S11 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 3 and replace with - <u>"Thames Water have advised that due to location of this site and the scale of development proposed it is anticipated that upgrades to the water network will be necessary. Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree what modelling work is required to investigate whether the existing water network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required".</u>	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy S16 Former Central St Martins College		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S11 'Other Considerations' – insert an additional bullet point under bullet point 1 - <u>"Given its special architectural and historic interest, a photographic and recording report relating to the back stage facilities and machinery of the Cochrane Theatre will be required to be submitted, as secured in planning permission 2020/2470/P"</u>	To reflect the existing planning permission
Policy S30 Birkbeck College, Malet Streer		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation S30 'Allocated Uses' – permanent self-contained homes <u>Higher education (academic and ancillary space)</u>	To correct an error in the published Plan
Site Allocation Policy C2 Regis Road and Holmes Road Depot		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C2 Criterion 24 - " ensure that Regis Road is transformed into a green pedestrian and cycling route by moving large vehicles on to a separate service road <u>where possible</u> in advance of any significant commercial development <u>provided as part of the redevelopment</u> . This should be included as part of the Phasing Plan required for the development;	For clarity
Site Allocation Policy C2 Regis Road and Holmes Road Depot		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C2 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 5 and replace with - <u>"Thames Water have advised that due to location of this site and the scale of development proposed it is anticipated that upgrades to the water and waste</u>	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
		<u>networks will be necessary. Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree what modelling work is required to investigate whether the existing water and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required"</u>	
Site Allocation Policy C3 Murphy Site		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C3 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 5 and replace with - <u>"Thames Water have advised that due to location of this site and the scale of development proposed it is anticipated that upgrades to the water network will be necessary. Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree what modelling work is required to investigate whether the existing water network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required"</u>	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy C4 Kentish Town Police Station		Propose the following modification to the bullet point under 'Context' – The Council would support the site being taken forward as part of the development of Regis Road (Policy G3 C2) if this enables a better solution to be found to meet the operational needs of the Metropolitan Police Service and support the delivery of the Regis Road masterplan	To correct the policy number reference
Site Allocation Policy C4 Kentish Town Police Station		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C4 criterion 4 - "seek to retain the Section House building in accordance with Policy CC2 (Retention of Existing Buildings) and re-use to provide student accommodation, <u>if no longer needed for police use</u> . Where student accommodation is proposed this should be in accordance with Policy H9 (Purpose-built Student Accommodation)"	To respond to the comments made by the Met Police (Responder ID 8)
Site Allocation Policy C5 369 – 377 Kentish Town Road		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C5 'Area' – 0.3 hectares 0.03 hectares	To correct an error in the published Plan
Site Allocation Policy C7		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C7 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 7	To respond to Thames Water

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Morrisons Supermarket		Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required.	comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy C8 Former Morrisons Petrol Filling Station		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C8 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 3 Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required.	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy C9 100 Chalk Farm Road		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C9 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 5 Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required.	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy C10 Juniper Crescent		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C10 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 3 Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required.	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Site Allocation Policy C12 Gilbeys Yard		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C12 'Other Considerations' – amend bullet point 6 - "Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree whether modelling work is required to understand to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required "	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy C12 Gilbeys Yard		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C12 'Other Considerations' – insert new bullet point at the end <u>" Proposals should include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Electricity Transmission (NGET) underground Cable Route present within/adjacent to the site which demonstrates how the NGET Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage, how the impact of the assets on proposals has been reduced and any risk from development to NGET assets averted, through good design"</u>	To respond to National Grid comments (Responder ID 400)
Site Allocation Policy C13 West Kentish Town Estate		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C13 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 2 Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required. and replace with - <u>"Thames Water have advised that due to location of this site and the scale of development proposed it is anticipated that upgrades to the water network will be necessary. Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree what modelling work is required to investigate whether the existing water network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required"</u>	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Site Allocation Policy C15 Wendling Estate and St Stephens Close		<p>Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C15 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 2</p> <p>Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required.</p> <p>and replace with -</p> <p><u>"Thames Water have advised that due to location of this site and the scale of development proposed it is anticipated that upgrades to the water network will be necessary. Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree what modelling work is required to investigate whether the existing water network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required"</u></p>	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy C16 Shirley House		<p>Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C16 'Other Considerations' – insert new bullet point at the end</p> <p><u>" Proposals should include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Electricity Transmission (NGET) underground Cable Route present within/adjacent to the site which demonstrates how the NGET Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage, how the impact of the assets on proposals has been reduced and any risk from development to NGET assets averted, through good design"</u></p>	To respond to National Grid comments (Responder ID 400)
Site Allocation Policy C16 Shirley House		<p>Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C16 'Other Considerations' – insert new bullet point at the end</p> <p><u>"Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree whether modelling work is required to understand whether the existing water supply network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development"</u></p>	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Site Allocation Policy C16 Shirley House		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C16 'Description of Existing Site' - "Shirley House <u>(also known as Camden Point)</u> is a seven storey office building on the corner of Camden Road and Camden Street, adjacent to the Regents Canal. It is <u>was formerly</u> occupied by the British Transport Policy headquarters"	For clarity
Site Allocation Policy C17 Camden Town over station development		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C17 'Allocated Uses' - "Permanent self-contained homes and student accommodation, employment uses (including maker spaces, creative industries and offices), retail, and food and drink uses (on the ground level) <u>and station infrastructure</u> "	In response to comments from Places for London / Network Rail (Responder ID 595)
Site Allocation Policy C17 Camden Town over station development		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C17 criterion 15 - "provide step-free access <u>and capacity improvements</u> to Camden Town underground station "	In response to comments from Places for London / Network Rail (Responder ID 595)
Site Allocation Policy C18 UCL Campus, 109 Camden Road		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C18 'Other Considerations' – amend third bullet point - "Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process <u>to agree whether modelling work is required to understand to investigate</u> whether the existing water supply network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required. "	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy C21 Grand Union House, 18-20 Kentish Town Road		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C21 'Allocated Uses' - "Employment (office), retail (ground floor) and permanent self contained homes"	For clarity

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Site Allocation Policy C23 Former flats 121 – 129 Bacton, Haverstock Road		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation C23 'Key Considerations' - Planning permissions (2012/6338/P dated 25/04/2013 (as amended by planning permissions 2014/3633/P, 2015/1189/P and 2016/5358/P) approved 314 homes in total (including 99 replacement homes) . Phase 1 delivered 67 166 new and replacement homes. <u>The Site has an extant planning permission to deliver the remaining 247 homes across Phase 2 (a net increase of 148 homes)...</u> "	Factual update
Site Allocation Policy W2 O2 Centre, carpark and car showroom sites and 14 Blackburn Road		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation W2 'Other Considerations' – delete third bullet point Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required. and replace with - <u>"Thames Water have advised that due to location of this site and the scale of development proposed it is anticipated that upgrades to the water and waste networks will be necessary. Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to agree what modelling work is required to investigate whether the existing water and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required"</u>	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Site Allocation Policy W13 551-557 Finchley Road		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation W13 'Key Considerations' – Planning permission (2020/5444/P) has been granted for a mixed use scheme of residential and flexible commercial use <u>and this scheme has been implemented.</u>	Factual update

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Site Allocation Policy N2 Mansfield Bowling Club		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation N2 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 3 Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required.	Factual update as the site has been cleared
Site Allocation Policy N3 Queen Mary's House		Propose the following modification to Site Allocation N2 'Other Considerations' – delete bullet point 1 Applicants will be expected to liaise with Thames Water early in the design process to investigate whether the existing water supply and wastewater network capacity in this area is able to support the demand anticipated from the development or whether local upgrades and/ or a detailed drainage strategy may be required.	To respond to Thames Water comments (Responder ID 409)
Policy H1 Maximising Housing Supply		Propose the following modification to Policy H1 Part A – The Council will aim to deliver at least 11,550 additional homes from 2026/27 to 2040/41. <u>This includes the final three years of the London Plan 2021 housing target for Camden (1,038 homes per year for years 2026/27, 2027/28 and 2028/29 of the Plan period).</u>	To respond to the comments from the GLA (Responder ID 13)
Policy H11 Accommodation for travellers		Propose the following modification to Policy H11. Part A - " The Council will aim to secure a sufficient supply of pitches / plots to meet the accommodation needs of Camden's established traveller community over the Plan period to 2041. <u>This includes a target of thirteen additional pitches by 2035/36.</u>	To accord with London Plan Policy H14
Policy H11 Accommodation for travellers		Propose the following modification to Policy H11. Part C - "To help meet the accommodation needs of Camden's established traveller community the following sites have been allocated in the Local Plan to deliver <u>six</u> permanent traveller pitches:..."	For clarity – in response to comments from London Gypsies and Travellers (Responder ID 294)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Policy H11 Accommodation for travellers		Propose the following modification to Policy H11. Part D – <u>“The Council will support the delivery of windfall sites to help meet the accommodation needs of Camden’s Traveller community.”</u> The Council will require new sites, pitches and/or plots for Travellers to:”	To respond to the comments from the GLA (Responder ID 13)
Policy H11 Accommodation for travellers		Propose the following modification to Policy H11.Part D (following criteria 8) - <u>9. be designed in consultation with representatives of the local Traveller community.</u> <u>Applications for new sites, pitches and/or plots for Travellers should be accompanied by a detailed Management Plan.</u>	For clarity – in response to comments from London Gypsies and Travellers (Responder ID 294)
Policy H11 Accommodation for travellers	Para 7.361	Propose the following modification to Paragraph 7.361 – “The London Plan 2021 indicates that boroughs should update their gypsy and traveller accommodation needs assessments as part of the Local Plan review process. To inform the review of the London Plan, the GLA <u>have undertaken</u> are carrying out a London-wide Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment. The provisional findings of the emerging Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment identify a need for 11 additional pitches in Camden by 2031/32. The overall need for the period covered by the new Local Plan (to 2041) will however be higher, and is assessed <u>estimated</u> to be 19 additional pitches <u>(including the 4 existing pitches in Camden).</u> ”	To respond to the comments from the GLA (Responder ID 13)
Policy H11 Accommodation for travellers		Propose to insert two new paragraphs after paragraph 7.364 – <u>“It is envisaged that the land adjacent to Constable House (Site Allocation C27) could accommodate two Gypsy and Traveller pitches and that the land at York Way Depot and adjacent land at Freight Lane (Site Allocation S20) could accommodate up to four Gypsy and Traveller pitches. However, further design and feasibility work will be required to establish the exact number of pitches that can be delivered on these sites. A further pitch has also recently been provided on the existing Traveller site at Carol St in Camden, which also counts towards meeting the identified need.</u>	To respond to the comments from the GLA (Responder ID 13)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
		<p><u>This means there is a shortfall of 6 pitches against the Council's ten year pitch target of 13 pitches to 2035/36. Given this, Policy H11 supports the delivery of windfall sites (unallocated sites) to help meet this need subject to the criteria set out in Policy H11 Part D."</u></p> <p>Subsequent paragraph numbering will need to be updated.</p>	
Policy CC2 Prioritising the retention of existing buildings		<p>Propose the following modification to Policy CC2 Part B – "Developers considering the substantial demolition of existing buildings will be expected to undertake the following assessments, before progressing detailed proposals for submission:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. a condition and feasibility assessment, which should be undertaken at the earliest opportunity; and 2. <u>where appropriate, a</u> development options appraisal.... 	For clarity – to respond to comments made by the London Property Alliance (Responder ID 637) and others
Policy CC2 Prioritising the retention of existing buildings		<p>Propose the following modification to Policy CC2 Part C – "The Council will only permit proposals which include substantial demolition where it can be demonstrated to the Council's satisfaction that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. there are significant structural issues with the existing building that would prevent it from being retained and improved; <u>or</u> 2. the developer has comprehensively explored a range of feasible alternative development options, informed by the condition and feasibility assessment, prior to considering substantial demolition, and it has been demonstrated to the Council's satisfaction that the existing building cannot be retained or improved; <u>and</u> 3. the proposal constitutes the best use of the site (informed by the condition and feasibility assessment and the development options appraisal), and optimises site capacity." 	For clarity - to respond to comments made by the London Property Alliance (Responder ID 637) and others

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Policy CC2 Prioritising the retention of existing buildings	8.15	Propose to insert the following new paragraph after paragraph 8.15 – <u>Furthermore, where the condition and feasibility assessment shows that there may be significant structural issues present, which mean the retention of the existing building is not feasible, a development options appraisal will not be required.</u> Subsequent paragraph numbering will change.	For clarity - to respond to comments made by the London Property Alliance (Responder ID 637) and others
Policy CC3 Circular economy and reduction of waste		Propose the following modification to Policy CC3 Part C - "The Council will require applications for new build major development (and major development which involves substantial demolition and rebuild) to submit a Circular Economy Statement.	For clarity - to respond to comments from Hilson Moran (Responder ID 507)
Policy CC4 Minimising Carbon Emissions		Propose the following modification to Policy CC4 Part B - "The Council will require applicants proposing developers for all new build major development or and all development proposing substantial demolition to submit a Whole Life Cycle Carbon (WLC) emissions assessment.	For clarity – to respond to comments from Unite (Responder ID 618)
Policy CC4 Minimising carbon emissions		Propose to insert the following text in Policy CC4 - <u>C. All major development will be required to submit a post construction Whole Life Cycle Carbon Assessment in line with London Plan Guidance on Whole Life Carbon Assessments.</u>	To align with London Plan Guidance on Whole Life Carbon Assessment - to respond to comments from Unite (Responder ID 618)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Policy CC4 Minimising carbon emissions	8.52	Propose to insert the following text at the end of paragraph 8.52 – <u>Where the Council considers an applicant has not fully assessed the whole life carbon of a proposal, an independent review of the Whole Life Cycle Carbon Assessment will be requested, to be paid for by the developer, and its recommendations should be implemented where feasible.</u>	To provide further information - to respond to comments from Hilson Moran (Responder ID 507)
Policy CC9 Water efficiency		Propose the following modification to Policy CC9 Part A 2 - "require all residential development to meet the optional requirement for water efficiency set out in Part G of the Building Regulations of 110 litres per person per day (including 5 litres for external water use), <u>or any higher standard that may be adopted</u> . Proposals will be strongly encouraged to reduce daily water use even further than this where possible;"	For clarity – to respond to comments from Thames Water (Responder ID 409)
Policy CC9 Water efficiency		Propose the following modification to paragraph 8.124. After the bullet point list include - <u>The Council will expect developers to demonstrate that they have taken a fittings-based approach to comply with the optional requirement for water efficiency in Part G of the Building Regulations, as set out in Table 2.2 of the Regulations.</u>	For clarity – to respond to comments from Thames Water (Responder ID 409)
Policy CC11 Sustainable drainage		Propose the following modification to Policy CC11 Part E - "In the Counters Creek catchment area (shown on the Planning Policies Map) applications for basement development, and other development vulnerable to flooding in areas identified at risk of flooding, should seek to reduce surface water run-off rates to <u>be as close to go beyond</u> the greenfield run-off rate <u>as where</u> feasible."	For clarity – to respond to comments from Thames Water (Responder ID 409) and Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum (Responder ID 251)

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Policy SC6 Cultural facilities		Propose the following modification to Policy S6 Cultural facilities Part D – “Where a proposal includes a new or relocated cultural facilities, or additional floorspace, the Council will expect require the applicant to provide the following, where appropriate .”	For clarity - in response to comments from the British Museum (Responder ID 594)
Policy NE3 Tree planting and protection		Propose the following modification to Policy NE2 Part A.5 - "expect suitable developments to integrate measures to support wildlife, including swift bricks, bat/bird boxes, bee bricks and permeable fencing <u>garden borders, such as hedges</u> ."	For clarity - to respond to comments from Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum (Responder ID 251)
Policy D1 Achieving design excellence		Propose the following modification to Policy D1 Part A - All development in Camden must achieve excellence in the architecture and design of buildings and places, responding to the climate <u>and ecological</u> emergency, improving the health and well-being of our communities, and celebrating Camden’s diversity of people and place.	For clarity - to respond to comments from Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum (Responder ID 251)
Policy D1 Achieving design excellence		Propose the following modification to Policy D1 Part D - Developers must evidence how they have responded positively to the design policies in the Local Plan, and associated guidance <u>and any relevant Neighbourhood Plan</u> , as part of the Design and Access Statement submitted with their planning application.	For clarity - to respond to comments from Redington Frognal Neighbourhood Forum (Responder ID 251)
Policy D1 Achieving design excellence		Propose the following modification to Policy D1. Part B.3 - preserve <u>conserve</u> or enhance the historic environment and heritage assets in accordance with Policy D5 (Historic Environment);	To ensure consistency in wording across the Plan - in response to comments from the

Policy	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
			British Museum (Responder ID 594)
Policy D2 Tall buildings		Propose the following modification to Policy D2, Part C.8 - the historic context of the building's surroundings and whether the proposal preserves or enhances the historic environment and heritage assets <u>(including in neighbouring boroughs where impacted)</u> in accordance with Policy D5 (Historic Environment).	To respond to comments made by LB Islington (Responder ID 606)
Policy D6 Basements		Propose the following modification to Policy D6 Part C.3 - "...not exceed the footprint of the host building in area , except for works to create a lightwell or access to the basement"	For clarity – to respond to comments from Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum (Responder ID 22)
Policy D6 Basements		Propose the following modification to Policy D6 Part A.1 - 'neighbouring properties <u>and infrastructure</u> '.	For clarity – to respond to comments from TfL (responder ID 604)
Policy A3 Air quality		Propose the following modification to Policy A3 Part A. 2. a. "all major developments <u>(10 or more dwellings provided, 1,000 square metres or more floorspace)</u>	For clarity – in response to comments from Kentish Town Neighbourhood Forum (Responder ID 615)
Policy A3 Air quality	13.67	Propose to insert the following text at the end of paragraph 13.67 - <u>If the AQA includes an assessment of laboratory flues, or consideration of other emissions which need specialist consideration, then the Council will seek independent verification of the assessment, to be paid for by the developer.</u>	For clarity

